






Mass Spectrometry

*from observation to
structural information*

*Marc-André Delsuc
Renafobis - 2017*






Emerging mass spectrometry (MS) methods for studying membrane protein complexes and their relationship to other biophysical techniques

PLAN

- Principles of Mass Spectrometry
 - measuring m/z
 - ionisation methods
 - shape of a MS spectrum of a protein
 - fragmentation methods
- Examples of use in Structural Biology
 - Large multicomponent Complexes
 - Ligand binding
 - H-D exchange and other chemical labelling
 - Cross-Linking
 - Ionic Mobility
- 2D MS
 - ... teasing you

Principles of Mass Spectrometry

- a charged molecule
- a fly in the vacuum
- the trajectory is inflected
 \vec{B} \vec{E}
- a detector senses the ion
- the sensing allows measuring the molecular mass



Basis

- We need ions in vacuum
- 3 fundamental steps
 - ionisation / separation / detection
- Electrostatic/electrodynamic interactions
=> we measure ONLY m/z
 - not just m
- m unit = 1 Dalton : 1 atom-gram
 - definition 1/12 mass of ^{12}C atom
 - $1 \text{ Da} = 1.66 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$
- m/z unit : 1 Thomson = $1 \text{ Da} / 1e^-$

Detection

- There is a large range of approaches for separating ions, in all cases:
 - the ion in vacuum flies in E and B fields
 - ▶ homogeneous or varying in space
 - ▶ static or varying in time
 - E and B field apply forces to the ion, - proportionnal to the charge : z
 - the ion follows Newton law - depend on the mass m
 - the displacement is dependent on the mass and the charge
 - only m/z can be measured
- different measurement methods
 - sector instruments
 - Time Of Flight
 - Quadrupole
 - Ion Trap
 - Orbitrap
 - Ionic Cyclotronic Resonance

Equations of motion

- Electrostatic force

$$\vec{F}_e = q\vec{E}$$

- Lorentz force

$$\vec{F}_L = q\vec{v} \wedge \vec{B}$$

- Ponderomotive force

$$\vec{F}_p = -\frac{q^2}{4m\omega^2} \nabla E^2$$

- Newton law

$$\vec{F} = m\vec{\gamma}$$

sector instrument

- Principle

- simplest


- ▶ deflection by a homogeneous, static E or B field
 - ▶ m/z is measured by the position of the impact

- improved

- ▶ deflection by a homogeneous, time varying E or B field
 - ▶ m/z is measured by the time of the impact at a given point

- both field can be used to imp


- not really used any more




Paul trap

$$\phi_o = U + V \cos \Omega t$$

$$\phi_{r,z} = \frac{\phi_o}{r_o^2} (r^2 - 2z^2)$$



Wolfgang Paul - 1989 Physics Nobel Prize




stability zones



$$\phi_o = U + V \cos \Omega t$$

$$a = \frac{8qU}{mr_o^2\Omega^2}$$

$$b = -\frac{4qV}{mr_o^2\Omega^2}$$





A Trap



A Quadrupole


Ion trap

- unstable trajectory in a fluctuating E field
 - stable trajectories are trapped into a cell
 - some trajectories are unstable (depending on m/z)
 - sweeping the frequency ejects ion relative to m/z value
- in practical
 - Allows storing ions for some time
 - resolution is not very high



Quadrupole

- resonant trajectory in a fluctuating E field
 - the frequency of the E determines m/z of the stable trajectory
 - sweeping the frequency
- improvement
 - longer quadrupoles
 - higher tensions
 - hexapoles




Time Of Flight

- Principle


- ions are accelerated by E field
- ions are injected at a given time (MALDI)
- m/z is measured by the time it takes to reach the detector

$$E_c = qU = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$$



- improvements

- The longer the path, the higher the resolution
- refocalisation of different energies
 - ▶ Use of reflection chamber





Orbitrap

- Stable ion orbits into a Electrostatic cell
 - static E_o
 - all ions are measured at the same time
 - orbit frequency depends on m/z
 - Fourier Transform gives frequency, thus m/z
- In practical
 - very high resolution and sensitivity
 - speed, sensitivity and resolution de
 - requires very high vacuum
 - patented by ThermoFisher


$$\frac{m}{z} \propto \sqrt{\frac{1}{f}}$$

Spectra obtained by Fourier Transform








Supplementary Figure 1. Schematic of the modified Exactive Plus instrument (ThermoFisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) with HCD option.



Pening Trap





FOURIER TRANSFORM ION CYCLOTRON RESONANCE MASS SPECTROMETRY: A PRIMER

**Alan G. Marshall,^{*,†} Christopher L. Hendrickson, and
George S. Jackson[†]**

*Center for Interdisciplinary Magnetic Resonance, National High Magnetic
Field Laboratory, Florida State University, 1800 East Paul Dirac Dr.,
Tallahassee, FL 32310*

Received 7 January 1998; revised 4 May 1998; accepted 6 May 1998





FIGURE 7. Incoherent ion cyclotron orbital motion (top left) is converted to coherent (and, therefore, detectable) motion (top right) by the application of a rotating electric field, which rotates in the same sense and at the ICR frequency of the ions of a given m/z value. The electronic circuitry is shown in the bottom diagram.

FT-ICR




- Stable ion orbits into a magnetic field
 - static homogeneous B_0
 - all ions are measured at the same time
 - orbit frequency depends on m/z
 - Fourier Transform gives frequency, thus m/z
- In practical
 - very high resolution and sensitivity
 - speed, sensitivity and resolution depend on value of B_0
 - requires very high vacuum
 - high B_0 requires cryomagnet

$$\frac{m}{z} \propto \frac{1}{f}$$

Spectra obtained by Fourier Transform






τ



$$R = \frac{U\tau}{2B_o}$$

- radius is independent on m/z
- detected signal is proportional to R

Chirp pulses



- broad-band spectra
 - ▶ 50kHz - 1MHz
- Direct detection
 - ▶ no carrier
- Chirp pulses
 - ▶ $t=0$ not easy to defined
 - ▶ complex phase dependence
- Simulation needed
 - ▶ Lorentz + Newton

Evolution simulator

Swept pulse

Frequency : 200.000-50.000 kHz

sweep width : 150.000 kHz sweep steps : 1000


duration : 1.000 msec

Epp : 1666.67 V/m

approx excitation radius : 11.82 mm


resonant frequency 144151.41 Hz

final radius : 7.56 mm




Fourier Transform
Modulus


```
import Apex as A
d = A.Import_1D("/DATA/YSPTS_MS_000004.d")
d.chsize(2*1024*1024).rfft().modulus()
```






Zooming in a FT-ICR data-set



Zooming in a FT-ICR data-set





Substance P
1M points;
resolution ~10ppm
SNR ~26.000
1 sec acquisition



Ionisation methods

- of course elephants can fly



John Fenn Koichi Tanaka Kurt Wüthrich
Nobel prize in Chemistry 2002

MALDI : Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionisation

● Matrix

absorbs light energy (UV laser)



ionizes the molecule without breaking it

● Typically


- cinnamic acid

- but also



Ferrulic acid / Sinapic acid / DiHydroxy Benzoic acid / etc..







ESI : Electro Spray Ionization



ESI : Electro Spray Ionization



ESI requirements

- volatile buffers

 - so that no salt remains on the molecule of interest

 - positively charged

 - ammonium

 - negatively charged

 - carbonate

 - formiate

 - acetate

 - *and that's about it !*

- see for instance

 - <http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/an/c1/c1an15123a/c1an15123a.pdf>

all together : a MS spectrometer





- Combine :

- Usually some Chromatography
 - ▶ GC
 - ▶ HPLC
 - ▶ nanoLC
 - ▶ capillary electrophoresis
 - ▶ nothing => infusion
- one source
 - ▶ ESI
 - ▶ MALDI
 - ▶ etc..
- one measurement
 - ▶ trap / quadrupole
 - ▶ TOF
 - ▶ Orbitrap

- Hence

- ESI-Orbitrap
- Maldi-TOF
- MALDI-LTQ
- GC-TOF

One example



- Whisky sample

Resolution

- Resolution in MS

- depends on several aspects
- measured by the ratio R

$$R = \frac{m}{\Delta m}$$

- Isotopic patterns

- monoisotopic mass / average mass

- Ionisation techniques

- Multicharges patterns
 - ▶ difference MALDI / ESI

- Detection techniques

- MS-MS < TOF - LTQ - Quad < Orbitrap FT-ICR
 - ▶ 1.000 10.000 - 100.000 100.000 - 1.000.000

$$\frac{m}{\Delta m}$$

Resolution

- Resolution in MS
 - depends on several aspects
 - measured by the ratio R

$$R = \frac{m/z}{\Delta m/z}$$

- Detection techniques

▶ Ion Trap < Quadrupole < TOF < Orbitrap < FT-ICR
1.000 5k 20k 50k 300k 1M - 5M

Isotopic pattern

- Each atom type displays an isotopic profile
 - list of isotope natural abundance for common atoms


isotopic ratio		+1	+2
H	99.99%	0.015%	
C	98.9%	1.1%	
N	99.63%	0.37%	
O	99.76%	0.038%	0.2%
P	100%		
S	95.02%	0.75%	4.21%

<http://www.sisweb.com/referenc/source/exactmaa.htm>

<http://www.sisweb.com/mstools/isotope.htm>

Molecular Mass definition - Isotopic pattern


- For C_n peak at $12n+1$ is proportionnal to n
- example here for linear alkanes C_nH_{2n+2}
 - **monoisotopic mass** / **average mass**





Isotopic pattern

- Protein empirical formula





- eg : $C_1 H_{1.59} N_{0.27} O_{0.31} S_{0.01}$ (different expressions exist)
- The aspect of the pattern depends on the resolution (and on the charge state)
- here simulated for a 11kD protein
- note how $R=60.000$ *monoisotopic mass* \neq *average mass* \neq *top of the* $R=20.000$ $R=5.000$






C_725 H_1122 N_194 O_215 S_10

16306.003396	0.0544783371
16307.006259	0.4815908078
16308.008995	2.1746869097
16309.011617	6.6792852462
16310.014141	15.6785827113
16311.016578	29.9699795375
16312.018937	48.5483260540
16313.021227	68.4889810809
16314.023455	85.8283420627
16315.025629	96.9892347469
16316.027753	100.0000000000
16317.029832	94.9628506636
16318.031872	83.7024337398
16319.033877	68.9202526525
16320.035849	53.3017111235
16321.037793	38.8992040048
16322.039711	26.8962383101
16323.041605	17.6814074296
16324.043479	11.0855202359
16325.045335	6.6465388834
16326.047174	3.8202539089
16327.048999	2.1095643109
16328.050811	1.1213685311
16329.052610	0.5748121676
16330.054400	0.2845871917
16331.056178	0.1362794827
16332.057943	0.0631972378




charge state



Denaturing conditions
(acidified aqueous solution)



Prop to length \Rightarrow to MW




Native conditions
(ammonium acetate buffer pH7)



Prop to surface \Rightarrow to MW^{2/3}

Charge/Mass dependence

- unfolded proteins
 - charge depends only on primary sequence and pH
- folded proteins
 - charge is only on the surface
 - Rayleigh model Z_R
 - ▶ charges are in the droplet when sprayed
 - ▶ droplet evaporate by coulombic fission
 - ▶ protein is assumed folded and spherical, charges on the protein are at the limit of the maximum coulombic density.



Number of observed mean charges of a number of globular proteins and protein complexes compared with the Rayleigh limit model predicted charge. The number of observed charges is very close to the Rayleigh limit on water droplets of the same size as the protein. All proteins were sprayed from 50 mM ammonium acetate at neutral pH. (O) Represents positive ion mode and (●) negative ion mode.

10kD $\Rightarrow Z \sim 9+$

100kD $\Rightarrow Z \sim 28+$

1MD $\Rightarrow Z \sim 90+$

hen egg lysozyme

Platform II, BMB, The University of Leeds


25-Jan-2000 10:00:37

LYS01A 1 (1.392) Sm (SG, 2x1.00); Sb (10,10.00)



Scan ES+

2.16e6

A: 14305.67±0.42



Lysozyme ESI-TOF spectrum



Myoglobin

$H_{1212}C_{769}N_{210}O_{218}S_2$







FIGURE 22. ESI FT-ICR mass spectra of chondroitinase I. Bottom: Heterodyne data for SWIFT-isolated ions, $1226 < m/z < 1273$, with external ion accumulation (Senko et al., 1997), from 10 co-added time-domain signals; the peaks at m/z 1240 and 1254 correspond to an unidentified adduct of ~ 260 Da. Top: Mass scale-expansion showing unit mass resolution of the isotopic distribution of the $z = 91$ charge state. Data kindly provided by N. Kelleher and described in detail elsewhere (Kelleher et al., 1997).

Charge depend on protein state

Normal ESI

148 kDa antibody


1. 0.1% acid in H₂O/ACN (50/50)
2. ESI: $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$ flow, 4 kV, 180°C
3. 1 μM or less of subunit
4. Non-covalent interaction perturbed



Native ESI


148 kDa antibody

1. Aqueous NH₄OAc (pH 6-9)
2. ESI: nL/min flow, 1 kV, 30°C
3. 10 μM of complex
4. Non-covalent interaction preserved




Examples of use in Structural Biology

- Not proteomics!
- Structural Information
 - non covalent molecular interactions
 - ▶ Large multicomponent Complexes
 - ▶ Ligand binding
 - H-D exchange and other chemical labelling
 - Cross-Linking
 - Ionic Mobility
 - fragmentation




from E. Boeri Erba C. Petosa

PROTEIN SCIENCE 2015 VOL 24:1176–1192



Denatured and native mass spectra of *H. pylori* urease.





(a) Denatured urease was electrosprayed from an aqueous 50% (vol/vol) acetonitrile containing 0.1 % (vol/vol) formic acid solution revealing individual charge distributions from the multiply charged α (26.6 kDa, orange) and β (61.7 kDa, magenta) monomers of urease. (b) A mass spectrum of native urease electrosprayed from an aqueous ammonium acetate solution (bottom) displaying multiple ion signals that originate from multiple charged species of the $\alpha_{12}\beta_{12}$ intact urease machinery with a measured mass of $1,063.4 \pm 1.0$ kDa. Insets are close-ups of the indicated regions. The cartoons are adapted from the X-ray structure of the intact $\alpha_{12}\beta_{12}$ urease.



Albert J R Heck
 Nature Methods 5, 927 - 933 (2008)
 doi:10.1038/nmeth.1265

Native mass spectrometry: a bridge between interactomics and structural biology

Large Complexes by MS /with Orbitrap




Orbitrap-based mass spectra of intact proteins and protein assemblies. (a–d) Native mass spectra of IgG antibody (a), bacteriophage HK97 capsid pentamers and hexamers (b), yeast 20S proteasome (c) and *E. coli* GroEL (d). Illustrative crystal structures are shown for each protein. Inset in a shows an enlargement of the 25+ charge state of IgG1.

Rose, R. J., Damoc, E., Denisov, E., Makarov, A. & Heck, A. J. R. High-sensitivity Orbitrap mass analysis of intact macromolecular assemblies. *Nat Meth* **9**, 1084–1086 (2012).


ESI & interactions in the vacuum


- sequentially
 - ▶ loss of water molecules
 - ▶ loss of hydrophobic interactions
 - ▶ loss of electrostatic interactions
 - ▶ loss of Van der Waals interactions
 - ▶ weakening of electrostatic interactions



Large Complexes

- stability
- collisions in source






Supplementary Figure 8. Tandem mass spectrum using HCD activation of the 14-subunit GroEL precursor ions. This asymmetric charge/subunit dissociation pathway, as shown in the cartoon, is typical for gas-phase dissociation of non-covalently bound protein complexes by collisional activation. 13-subunit GroEL fragment ions are detected at m/z values up to and above 20,000 Th.

Protein-Ligand

- studying protein-ligand interactions in native mode




IB5 - salivary protein


- IDP - low complexity

SPPG**KPQGPP**QQEGN**KPQGPP**PPG
KPQGPPPAGGNPQQPQAPPAG
KPQGPPPP**PQG**GRPPRPAQGQQPPQ

- Interact selectively with polyphenols (proanthocyanidines)




EGCG



IB5 / TANNINS

Interaction IB5-EgCG


milieu: H₂O/EtOH (88/12) pH=3,2 (CH₃COOH)
Rapport 1:10 IB5:EgCG (IB5 5μM ; EgCG 50μM)
AccuTOF, ESI+



→ Formation de complexes IB5:EgCG avec différentes stoechiométries (de 1:1 à 1:5)

IB5 / TANNINS

Impact de la structure des tanins sur l'interaction collision contre un gaz neutre dépend de la vitesse d'accélération




	IB5-EgC	IB5-ECG	IB5-EgCG	IB5-B2	IB5-B2,3'OG
E ₅₀ (eV)	67.5	105	120	150	180
E _{cm} (eV)	0.26	0.4	0.45	0.55	0.65

IB5 / TANNINS


Expériences de MS/MS: cas des complexes IB5:tanin 1:2

Exemple de l'hétérocomplexe IB5:(B2/ECG)



→ Les deux tanins ne sont pas libérés en même temps

H / D exchange



S. R. Marcsisin and J. R. Engen *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2010 June ; 397(3): 967–972. doi:10.1007/s00216-010-3556-4.

H / D exchange





Fig. 9 Scheme of on- and off-exchange approaches used in HDX experiments. Reproduced from A. Sinz, 2007⁵ with permission from John Wiley and Sons.

H / D exchange



PPAR γ -LBD
with rosiglitazone

MRL24

Cross-Link





Fig. 12 Analytical strategy for analysing conformational changes in protein upon ligand binding by chemical cross linking and high resolution mass spectrometry. Reproduced from Muller and Sinz¹⁸⁰ with permission from Springer.




one example

Pyruvate kinase (KPYM_RABIT, P11974), PDB structure 2G50

DSS

PDH

ZL



A. Leitner, L.A. Joachimiak, P. Unverdorben, T. Walzthoeni, J. Frydman, F. Förster, and R. Aebersold

PNAS **111** 26 9455–9460

vinculin forms hybrid complexes with components of the Arp2/3 actin polymerization complex











Figure 3.

Ionic Mobility






from N.Barrera, C.Robinson
Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2011. 80:247–71

Tandem MS : MS-MS

- Tandem MS : coupling
 - the first MS select one m/z
 - a fragmentation is applied
 - the mass spectrum of the



- Several fragmentation techniques available

Mostly :


- **CID : Collision Induced Dissociation**
collision with a neutral gaz : eg Argon
- **IRMPD : IR Multiple Photon Dissociation**
irradiation with a IR laser
- **ECD : Electron Capture Dissociation**
bombardment with e^-
- **ETD : Electron Transfert Dissociation**
transfert of e^- by collision with charged molecules

Tandem MS : MS-MS

- Tandem MS : coupling two MS measure in series.
 - the first MS select one m/z
 - a fragmentation is applied to the parent peak
 - the mass spectrum of the gradients is determined
- Several fragmentation technique available
 - ▶ Mostly :
 - CID : Collision Induced Dissociation
 - ▶ collision with a neutral gaz : eg Argon
 - ▶ SID - HCD
 - ETD : Electron Transfer Dissociation
 - ▶ bombardment with e^-
 - ▶ EDD - ECD
 - IRMPD : IR Multiple Photon Dissociation
 - ▶ irradiation with a IR laser
 - ▶ BIRD

MS-MS : several possible geometry

- coupling 2 MS plus a dissociation chamber
 - QqQ (Q3)
- but also
 - Q-TOF
 - Q-Orbitrap
 - TOF-TOF



proteomics






Fig. 1 Comparison of top-down and bottom-up workflows.

Fragmentation

- fragmentation and identification from databases



P. Lössl, M. van de Waterbeemd & A. JR Heck


The EMBO Journal (2016) 35: 2634–2657

Directly Obtaining Both Proteomics and Structural Information by Native Top-Down MS?

- Native MS for macromolecular complexes
- Top-down capability
 - High resolution
 - High mass accuracy
 - Multiple fragmentation techniques





solarix 15-Tesla




Native Top-Down MS


– Harvest the synergy between proteomics and native MS



zinc-binding





site mutation (V58T)




putative PTM

All Information in One Experiment




Overall, 40%
sequence coverage

ECD Reveals Outer Surface Residues of Aldolase Tetramer (158 kDa)




PHSHPAL TP	EQKKE LSDIA	HR IVAPGKGI	LADESTGSI	AKRLQS IGTE
NTEENRRFYR	QLLLTADDRV	NPCIGGV ILF	HETLYQKADD	GRPFPQVI KS
KGGVVG IKVD	KGVVPLAGTN	GETTTQGLDG	LSERCAQYKK	DGADFAKWRC
VLK IGEHTPS	ALAIMENANV	LARYAS ICQQ	NGIVPIVEPE	I LPDGD HDLK
RCQYVTEKVL	AAVYKALS DH	HIY LEGTL LK	P NMV TPGHAC	T QKYS HEE IA
MATVTALR RT	VPPAV TGVT F	LS GGQ SE EE EA	S IN LNA IN KC	P LL KPW ALT F
SY GRAL QASA	L KAW GG KKEN	L KAA Q EE Y VK	R ALANSLACQ	G KY T PSGQAG


Revealing Structural Similarity upon Metal Binding




- ❖ Cu (His 46, 48, 63 and 120)
- ❖ Zn (His 63, 71, 80, and Asp 83)
- ❖ Disulfide bond (Cys57 and Cys146)



Revealing Structural Difference upon Metal Binding




Cu Binding Shields the Charged Residues (His46, His48)



Native Top-Down MS of β -Galactosidase Tetramer (465 kDa)



Activating interface



ECD: no c/z⁺ ions (N- and C-termini are involved in interfaces)


IRMPD: 42% sequence coverage from the C-terminal, no PTMs observed

CAD: 12% sequence coverage from the N-Terminal; N-terminal is highly modified; at least four proteoforms




Reveals Empty Nanodisc Composition

- Empty nanodisc is about 130~190 kDa
- 2 scaffold proteins (22.45 kDa)
- 125~214 DMPCs (677.5 Da)




Release Membrane Protein Complex from Nanodisc




2D-MS

Tri Acyl Glycerol (TAG)



NMR - MS what's in common ?



- A Magnet
- Fourier transform

- Fourier transform



FT-ICR MS



NMR

Fourier Transform




Jean Baptiste Joseph Fourier
(21 Mars 1768 – 16 Mai 1830)

$$f(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$F(\nu) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$


$$\mathcal{F} : f(t) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} F(\nu)$$

$$F(\nu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-2i\pi\nu t} dt$$



1D FT-ICR

Observe
Pulse




$d(t)$


1D Fourier Transform

$D(\omega)$




$\xrightarrow{m/z \propto 1/\omega}$ $S(m/z)$



2D NMR



- ▶ H_1 et H_2 : deux phénomènes liés différents battements entre 2 oscillateurs
- ▶ possible car retour à la situation initiale
- ▶ t_1 : durée / t_2 : date
- ▶ peu de contraintes sur le choix des valeurs de t_1





**TWO-DIMENSIONAL FOURIER TRANSFORM
ION CYCLOTRON RESONANCE MASS SPECTROMETRY**

Peter PFÄNDLER, Geoffrey BODENHAUSEN

Institut de Chimie Organique, Université de Lausanne, Rue de la Barre 2, CH-1005 Lausanne, Switzerland

Jacques RAPIN, Raymond HOURIET and Tino GÄUMANN



Institut de Chimie Physique, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

1988

P Pfaendler, G Bodenhausen, J Rapin, M Walser, T
Gäumann

Broad-band two-dimensional Fourier transform ion
cyclotron resonance.


J Am Chem Soc (1988) vol. 110 (17) 5625-5628



2D FT-ICR




G. Bodenhausen
 ENS Paris
 C. Rolando
 Univ. Lille



2D Fourier Transform


$$d(t_1, t_2) \xrightarrow{FT(t_1, t_2)} D(\omega_1, \omega_2)$$

$$\xrightarrow{m/z \propto 1/\omega} S(m_1/z_1, m_2/z_2)$$






Pfaendler, P., Bodenhausen, G., Rapin, J., Walser, M. E., & Gäumann, T. (1988). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **110**, 5625-5628.
 van Agthoven, M. A., Delsuc, M.-A., Bodenhausen, G. & Rolando, C. (2013) *Anal Bioanal Chem* **405**, 51–61.


Principle of 2D FT-ICR



Role of Excitation and Encoding Pulses





$$\sqrt{1 + \cos(\omega t_1)}$$



$$d(t_1, t_2)$$

exploration of the 2D map

- following alignments




Top-down proteomics



- Calmoduline

- 2D FT-ICR IRMPD



- ▶ 512 x 4M = 2 Gpoints
- ▶ 20 min. acquisition
- ▶ **R1 ~ 180**
- ▶ **R2 ~ 420.000**



Floris, F., van Agthoven, M. A., Chiron, L., Soulby, A., Wootton, C. A., Lam, P. Y., Barrow, M.P., Delsuc, M-A., O'Connor, P. (2016). *J. Am. Soc. MS*, 27(9), 1531–1538


Top-down proteomics

- Calmoduline



Top-down proteomics

- Calmoduline
2D fragment ion scan at 14+




Bottom-up proteomics

- Calmoduline

- 2D FT-ICR IRMPD

- ▶ 4096 x 512k = 2 Gpoint
- ▶ 50 min. acquisition
- ▶ **R1 ~ 1200**
- ▶ **R2 ~ 60.000**



Bottom-up proteomics

- Collagen protein

- complex protein

- ▶ heavily transformed: hydroxy-proline / hydroxy-lysine
 - ▶ packed helices and dense Hbound network
 - ▶ Bovin Collagen Type1: $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2$ chains (~2x 1400 aa)
 - ▶ tryptic digest

Blank regions are low complexity, with repetition of X-Y-Gly pattern


$\alpha 1$ -[1]				$\alpha 2$ -[1]							
MFSFVDLRLL	LLLAATALLT	HQEEGQEEG	QEEDIPVTC	VQNGLRYHDR	50	MLSFVDTRTL	LLLAVTSCLA	TCQSLQEATA	RKGPSDRGP	RGERPPGPP	50
DVWKVPCQI	CVCDNGNVL	DDVICDELKD	CPNAKVPTDE	CCPVCPEGQE	100	GRDGDGIFP	PGPPGPPFP	PGLGGNFAAQ	FDAKGGGEP	MGLMGRGPP	100
SPTDQETTG	EGPKGDTGPR	GPRGPPGPP	RDGIPGQPL	PGPPGPPGPP	150	GASGAPQQG	FQGPPEPGE	PGQTPAGAR	GPPGPPKAG	EDGHPKPFGR	150
GPPGLGNFA	PQLSYGYDEK	STGISVPGPM	GPSGPRGLPG	PPGAPGQGF	200	PERGVVFGQ	GARGFPPTG	LPFKGIRGH	NGLDGLKQP	GAPGVKGEPE	200
QGPPEGPEP	GASGPMGPR	PPGPPKNGD	DGEAGKPRP	GERGPPGQ	250	APGENGTPGQ	TGARGLPER	GRVAPGAPG	ARGSDGSVP	VGPAGPIGSA	250
ARGLPCTAGL	PGMKGHRGFS	GLDGAKDAG	PAGPKGEFS	PCENGAFQOM	300	GPPGPPGAPG	PKGELGPVN	PGPAGPAGP	GEVGLPGLS	PVGPNNFPA	300
GPRGLPGERG	RPGAPGPGA	RGNDGATGAA	GPPGPTGPA	PPGFPGAVGA	350	NGLPGAKGAA	GLPGVAGAP	LPGRGIPGP	VGAAGATGAR	GLVGEPPGAG	350
KGEGGPGPR	GSEGPQVGR	EPGPPGPGA	AGPAGNPAD	GQPGAKGANG	400	SKGESGNKE	PGAVQPPG	GPSGEEKRG	STGEIGPAG	PGPGLRGNP	400
APGIAGAPGF	PGARGPSGQ	GPSGPPKPK	NSGEPGAPG	KGDTGAKGEP	450	GSRLPLGADG	RAGVMGAPG	RGATGPAGV	GPNDSDRGP	EPGLMGRPF	450
GPTGIQPPG	PAGEEGKRG	RGEPPGAPL	GPPGERGGP	SRGPPGADGV	500	PGSPGNIGPA	KKEGVLGPG	IDGRPPGIPG	AGARGEPMNI	GFPKGPSPG	500
AGPKGPAGER	GAPGAPGPK	SPGEAGRPE	AGLPGAKGLT	GSPGSPGPDG	550	DPKAGEKGH	AGLAGARGA	GEDNNGAQQ	PPGLQGVQG	KGEQGPAGP	550
KTGPPGAPG	DGRPGPPPP	GARGQAGVM	FPGPKAAGE	PGKAGERGVP	600	GFQGLPAGP	TAGEAGKPE	RGIPGEFGL	GPAGARGERG	PPGESGAAP	600
GPPGAVGAPG	KDGEAQAQF	PGPAGPAGER	GEQGPAGSPG	FQGLPGAPG	650	TGPTGSRGFS	GPPGPDGNG	EPGVVAPGT	AGPSGSPGLP	GERGAAGIPG	650
PGEAGKPEQ	GVPDGLGAP	PSGARGERF	PERGVQGGP	GPAGPRGANG	700	GKGEKGTGL	RGDIGSPGR	GARGAPGAI	APGPAGANGD	RGEAGPAGPA	700
APGNDGAKD	AGAPGAPGQ	GAPGLQMPG	ERGAAGLPG	KGDRGDAGPK	750	GPAGPRGSPG	ERGEVGPAG	NGFAGPAGA	GQPGAKGERG	TKGPKGENGP	750
GADGAPGKDG	VRGLTGP	PGPAGPDK	GEAGPSGAPG	PTGARGAPDG	800	VGPTGVPGAA	GPSGPPGPP	PAGSRDGGP	PGATGFPGAA	GRTGPPGSPG	800
RGEPPGPGA	GFAGPPGADG	QPGAKGEPD	AGAKGDAGPP	GPAGPAGPPG	850	ISGPPGPPG	AKGELRGRP	GDQPVVRS	ETGASGPPG	VGEKGPSPG	850
PIGNVAPGP	KGARSGAGP	GATGFPGAAG	RVGPPGSPG	AGPPGPPGPA	900	GTAGPPGTPG	PQGLLAGPF	LGLPGSRGR	GLPGVAGSVG	EPGLGIAGP	900
GKESKGPFRG	ETGPAGRPE	VGPPGPPGPA	GEKAPGADG	PAGAPGTPG	950	PGARGPPGNV	GNGPVNGAPG	EAGRDGNPN	DGPPGRDQP	GHKGERGYPG	950
QGIAGQGVV	GLPQGRGERG	FPGLPGSPG	PKQGPSPGAS	GERGPPGPMG	1000	NAGPVGAAGA	PGQGPVGPV	GKHGRRGEP	PAGAVGPPG	VGPRGSPGQ	1000
PPLAGPPGE	SGREGAPGAE	GSPGRDSPG	AKGDRGETP	AGPPGAPGAP	1050	GIRGDKGEPG	DKGPRGLPGL	KHNGLQGLP	GLAGHHGQ	APGAVGPPG	1050
GAPGVPVGP	KSGDRGETP	AGPAGIPGV	GARGPAGPQ	PRGDKGETG	1100	RGPAGSPGPA	GKDRIGQGP	AVGPAGIRG	QGSQGPAGP	GPPGPPGPPG	1100
QDGRGIKGR	GFSLQGGPP	PPGSPGEGP	SGASGPAGP	GPPGSPGSPG	1150	PSGGGYEFG	DGDFYRADQ	RSPTSLRPRD	YEVDTLKL	NNQIETLLTE	1150
KDGLNGLPG	IGPPGPRRT	GADGAPGPP	PPGPPGPPG	PSGGYDLSFL	1200	EGSRKNPART	CRDLRLSHP	WSSGYWIID	NQCGTMDAIK	VYCDFSTGET	1200
PQPPQEKAH	GGYYRADDA	NVVRDRDLEV	DTTLKLSLQ	IENIRSPG	1250	CIRAQPEDIP	VKNWYRNSKA	KKHVVGETI	NGGTQFEYV	EGVTTKEMAT	1250
RKNPARTCR	LKMCHSDWKS	GEYWIDPNQ	CNLDAIKVFC	NMETGETCVY	1300	QLAFMRLAN	HASQNIYHC	KNSIAYMDEE	TGNLKKAVIL	QGSNDVBLVA	1300
PTQPSVAQKN	WYISKNPKEK	RHVWYGESMT	GGFQFEYGGQ	GSDPADVAIQ	1350	EGNSRFTYIV	LVDGCSKKTN	EQWQTIIEYK	TNKRSLRPLI	DIAPLDIGGA	1350
LTFLRLMSTE	ASQNIYHCK	NSVAYMDQQT	GNLKKALLQ	GSNEIETRAE	1400	DQEIIRLNIGP	VCFK				1364
GNSRFTYISVT	YDGTSHSTA	WGRTVIEYKT	TRTSRLPIID	VAPLDVGA	1450						
QEFGFDVGA	CFL										

Bottom-up proteomics

- Collagen protein



- 2D FT-ICR IRMPD

- ▶ 4k x 256k = 1 Gpoints
- ▶ 60 min. acquisition
- ▶ **R1 ~ 630**
- ▶ **R2 ~ 13.000** ($m/z=850$)



Bottom-up proteomics

- Collagen protein
 - comparing CAD, ECD, IRMPD, 2D IRMPD




Comparing coverage
not bad for a technique only a few years old!

Bottom-up proteomics


- yeast cells
 - cell extract
 - tryptic digest
 - no separation


- 2D FT-ICR IRMPD

- ▶ 4096 x 256k = 1 Gpoint
- ▶ processed to 4k x 1M = 4 Gpoints
- ▶ 50 min. acquisition
- ▶ **R1 ~ 1500**
- ▶ **R2 ~ 60.000**



Parent Excitation limited to
 $m/z = 330 \dots 700$






>1M detected peaks

>100k? potential PSM





Neutral-loss

Artifacts due to
Harmonics



NUS: substance P, 2D spectrum overview

- Non-Uniform Sampling / non-Fourier Analysis



Substance P, 1 pmol.μL , nanoESI, ECD, 45 min


Solarix 7 Tesla, Paracell®,

all experiments 2k × 128k

but covering





4k / 16k / 32k x 128k


**The overall aspect
of the 2D spectrum is preserved.**




672

678






Classic



NUS 32k div 16

NUS (32k, 1/16) 2D zoom on doubly charged


- ▶ R1 ~ 14.000
- ▶ R2 ~ 150.000



File = 140Gb
compressed to 30Gb

in preparation

On a triglyceride mixture



1. ligand binding

- a. Recent developments in protein–ligand affinity mass spectrometry (<http://europepmc.org/articles/PMC3043251>)
- b. Mass spectrometry-based approaches to protein–ligand interactions (<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1586/14789450.2.4.475?src=recsys&journalCode=ieru20>)
- c. Mass spectrometry based tools to investigate protein–ligand interactions for drug discovery (<http://pubs.rsc.org/en/Content/ArticleLanding/2012/CS/C2CS35035A#ldivAbstract>)

2. Kd measurements - with all the caveat on ESI, etc..

- a. Converting Solution Macromolecular Thermodynamic Properties into Gas-Phase Mass Spectrometry Observations ([http://www.cell.com/cell-chemical-biology/fulltext/S1074-5521\(02\)00221-1](http://www.cell.com/cell-chemical-biology/fulltext/S1074-5521(02)00221-1))
- b. Sizing Up Protein–Ligand Complexes: The Rise of Structural Mass Spectrometry Approaches in the Pharmaceutical Sciences (<http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/full/10.1146/annurev-anchem-061516-045414#f1>)
- c. A General Mass Spectrometry-Based Assay for the Quantitation of Protein–Ligand Binding Interactions in Solution (<http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ja026574g>)

3. multi molecular complexes

- a. The diverse and expanding role of mass spectrometry in structural and molecular biology (<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.15252/emj.201694818/full>)
- b. Advances in the Mass Spectrometry of Membrane Proteins: From Individual Proteins to Intact Complexes (<http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev-biochem-062309-093307?journalCode=biochem>)
- c. The emerging role of native mass spectrometry in characterizing the structure and dynamics of macromolecular complexes (<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pro.2661/pdf>)
- d. Mass spectrometry guided structural biology (<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959440X16301440>)

4. HDX

- a. Hydrogen exchange mass spectrometry for studying protein structure and dynamics (<http://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlelanding/2011/cs/c0cs00113a#!divAbstract>)
- b. Differential hydrogen/deuterium exchange mass spectrometry analysis of protein–ligand interactions (<http://europepmc.org/articles/PMC3113475>)
- c. Hydrogen exchange mass spectrometry: what is it and what can it tell us? (<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00216-010-3556-4?no-access=true>)

5. cross-linking

- a. Chemical cross-linking and mass spectrometry to map three-dimensional protein structures and protein–protein interactions (<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/mas.20082/abstract>)
- b. Probing Native Protein Structures by Chemical Cross-linking, Mass Spectrometry, and Bioinformatics (<http://www.mcponline.org/content/9/8/1634.full>)
- c. Chemical cross-linking/mass spectrometry targeting acidic residues in proteins and protein complexes (<http://www.pnas.org/content/111/26/9455.long>)
- d. Chemical cross-linking and native mass spectrometry: A fruitful combination for structural biology (<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pro.2696/full#references>)

6. fragmentation

- a. Top-down mass spectrometry: Recent developments, applications and perspectives (<http://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlelanding/2011/an/c1an15286f#!divAbstract>)
- b. Native mass spectrometry of photosynthetic pigment–protein complexes (<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0014579313000197>)
- c. 193 nm Ultraviolet Photodissociation Mass Spectrometry of Tetrameric Protein Complexes Provides Insight into Quaternary and Secondary Protein Topology (<http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jacs.6b03905>)

acknowledgment to Huilin Li

Thank you

